

mn

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
10/628,959	07/28/2003	Eitan Hefetz	34874-020 UTIL	6174	
64280 MINTZ I EVI			EXAMINER		
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY & POPEO, P.C. 9255 TOWNE CENTER DRIVE			PATEL, MANGLESH M		
	SUITE 600 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2178		
			MAN DATE	DELIVERY MODE	_
			L.		ل
			MAIL DATE 06/05/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/628,959	HEFETZ ET AL.			
merview dammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Manglesh M. Patel	2178			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, f	PTO personnel):				
(1) Manglesh M. Patel (USPTO).	(3) <u>Joseph Juliano (A</u>	pp's Rep).			
(2)	(4)				
Date of Interview: 31 May 2007.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applican	t 2)⊡ applicant's represer	ntative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Ye If Yes, brief description:	s e)⊡ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: 1.					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Yu</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the gereached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation State</u>		eed to if an agreement was			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ar allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	no copy of the amendments	ner agreed would render the claims that would render the claims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFI INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONG INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE I requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	o the last Office action has al GER OF ONE MONTH OR T S INTERVIEW SUMMARY FO	ready been filed, APPLICANT IS HIRTY DAYS FROM THIS DRM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/628,959

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The difference between the Yu reference and the invention was discussed. Specifically, the Yu reference teaches obtaining the component or content and displaying that content at run-time by previewing scripts in a client browser. However the invention has described in the submitted amendment describes that the design-time representation is rendered according to the layout of the components in the container. Therefore it improves upon Yu since it allows the container to be viewed within the WYSIWYG editor at design time, which differs from previewing in a client browser. By modifying and accessing the layout components in design view editor the user does not have to modify or understand scripting code has required by Yu. Therefore the amendment appears to overcome the reference however all responses are subjected to further search and consideration.